## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DANIELLE CORRIDEAN,

06-CV-524-HU

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

RESTORE FINANCIAL SERVICES and SERGEANTS TOWING, INC.,

Defendants.

# JON M. EGAN

Jon M. Egan, PC 240 Sixth Street Lake Oswego, OR 97034 (503) 697-3427

Attorney for Plaintiff

# JEFFREY I. HASSON

Davenport & Hasson, LLP 12707 NE Halsey Street Portland, OR 97230 (503) 255-5352

Attorneys for Defendant Restore Financial Services Network, LLC

1 - ORDER

#### SEAN DONAHUE

Donahue & Associates 1625 Umpqua Bank Plaza One SW Columbia Street Portland, OR 97258 (503) 226-1084

Attorneys for Defendant Sergeants Towing, Inc.

# BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Dennis James Hubel issued Findings and Recommendation (#100) on January 22, 2007, in which he recommended the Court grant Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (#40, #44), deny as moot Plaintiff's Motions to Strike (#55, #58), decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state-law claim, and dismiss this matter. Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate

Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make
a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's
report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also United States v.

Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988); McDonnell Douglas

Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th

Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). This Court has
carefully considered the objections of Plaintiff and concludes

Plaintiff's objections do not provide a basis to modify the

Findings and Recommendation. This Court also has reviewed a transcript of the hearing held November 20, 2006; has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo*; and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendation (#100) and, accordingly, GRANTS Defendants'

Motions for Summary Judgment (#40, #44), DENIES as moot

Plaintiff's Motions to Strike (#55, #58), DECLINES to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims, and DISMISSES this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10th day of April, 2007.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANNA J. BROWN United States District Judge